CORPORATE PERFORMANCE AND RESOURCES SCRUTINY COMMITTEE

Minutes of a Remote Meeting held on 16th June, 2022.

The Committee agenda is available here.

The Meeting recording is available <u>here</u>.

<u>Present</u>: Councillor J. Protheroe (Chair), Councillors G.D.D. Carroll, P. Drake, C.P. Franks, E. Goodjohn, S.J. Haines, H.C. Hamilton, S.M. Hanks, B. Loveluck-Edwards and N.J. Wood.

<u>Also Present</u>: Councillors L. Burnett (Executive Leader and Cabinet Member for Performance and Resources), M.R. Wilson (Cabinet Member for Neighbourhood and Building Services) and I. Buckley.

68 APPOINTMENT OF VICE-CHAIR -

RESOLVED – T H A T Councillor E. Goodjohn be appointed Vice-Chair for the Municipal year.

69 APOLOGY FOR ABSENCE -

This was received from Councillor Dr. I.J. Johnson.

70 MINUTES -

RECOMMENDED – T H A T the minutes of the meeting held on 14th April, 2022 be approved as a correct record.

71 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST –

No declarations of interest were received.

72 INTRODUCTION TO THE CORPORATE PERFORMANCE AND RESOURCES SCRUTINY COMMITTEE –

To mark the first meeting of the Committee following the 2022 Local Government Election, the Director of Corporate Resources presented a PowerPoint presentation to apprise members on the following matters within the context of this scrutiny committee:

 The key part of the Council's vision for the Vale of the Glamorgan was one of 'Strong Communities with a Bright Future'. When talking about the Vale's vision, it was important to think about it from the perspective of the local, Vale-based outcomes that it wanted to see delivered –

- o An Active and Healthy Vale.
- An Inclusive and Safe Vale.
- o An Environmentally Responsible and Prosperous Vale.
- o An Aspirational and Culturally Vibrant Vale.
- The above outcomes in turn shaped the objectives that the Council set itself and these all linked into the Council's contribution to the Wellbeing of Future Generations Act and that was introduced in 2015 and the seven interconnected Well Being Goals for Wales.
- This in turn guided the way Committee Members made decisions and scrutinised i.e. by using the 'Five Ways of Working' as a lens through which Scrutiny Members considered the different topics that were brought before them; i.e.:
 - Looking at the longer-term solutions and allocation of resources to problems and to safeguard the ability to meet longer term needs whilst balancing these with more shorter-term demands.
 - To seek early intervention / prevention to prevent problems recurring in the future.
 - Taking an integrated approach.
 - Collaboration and taking collective action with other public and private sector bodies in order to help local communities and achieve wellbeing objectives.
 - The importance of involving various diverse persons, etc. who have a stake in the decisions that the council were making in order to make sure that they had their voice heard and they can contribute to delivering those wellbeing goals as well.
- In order to achieve and deliver its four Wellbeing Objectives, the Council had a Corporate Plan over five years (the most recent being its 2020-2025 Corporate Plan). The Wellbeing Objectives included:
 - Work with and for Our Communities i.e., getting residents involved, provide good customer services, promoting Welsh, etc.
 - Support Learning, Employment & Sustainable Economic Growth i.e., to make sure that all children have the opportunity to learn in modern environments, giving people and businesses the support, advice and opportunities to help with jobs, money and skills that they need and wanting people to be able to volunteer in different opportunities.
 - Support People at Home and in the Community, i.e. to ensure people had a healthy and active lifestyle, encourage walking, cycling and other modes of active travel and giving people the opportunity to enjoy leisure, arts and culture and make sure that everybody got the care and support that they needed, as well as keeping people safe at home and in their community with access to good quality housing.
 - Respect, Enhance and Enjoy Our Environment i.e. sustain local facilities such as parks and play areas and minimise pollution and flooding, as well as reducing waste and lowering carbon emissions.
- In order to provide the public and Scrutiny Members with an annual view of how the Council was progressing, the Annual Delivery Plan contained a series of commitments for the 2022/23 year and specifically had 6 themes (i.e. Project Zero, Community Capacity, Hardship, etc).

- In terms of this Committee's remit, it had the overall view and oversight around the delivery of the Council's vision and at a number of specific service areas such as Corporate Policy and Performance, Communications, Customer Relations, Finance, ICT, Property, Legal, Democratic Services, Human Resources, Surplus Land and Property, Capital Schemes, and the Public Services Board.
- As part of the outlining of the Senior Team behind the functions, the Monitoring Officer / Head of Legal and Democratic Services, the Head of Human Resources and Organisational Development and the Operational Manager Employee Services introduced themselves to the Committee and set out their roles and responsibilities.
- Finally, the Director of Corporate Resources advised the Committee to let
 Democratic Services know if there were specific or technical questions prior to a
 meeting in order for them to be researched and if specific or key officers were
 required to attend the Committee meetings in order to address their questions.

Following the presentation, the subsequent comments and questions were raised by the Committee and others:

- Councillor Franks commented on a number of issues, regarding areas such as insufficient support by the Council around active travel, maintenance of footpaths, as well as support for schools and schoolchildren. The Director of Corporate Resources stated he would ensure that the Councillor and the whole of the Committee would receive the Quarter 3 Performance Report which detailed the actions and commitments made around such areas as active travel, flood alleviation, etc. and such reports would be coming to Committee on a regular basis. Councillor Loveluck-Edwards also wished to add that in her role within an education trade union they had not seen any issues around a lack of support by the Vale of Glamorgan Council for schools or pupils.
- Councillor Carroll referred to the need to ensure that when looking at the
 Council's objectives that it needed to look at the 'bigger picture' or impact of
 these earlier, citing the lack of lighting for a public footpath in his ward, with
 additional lighting refused by the Council due to climate change, but ignoring the
 benefits that a lit footpath could provide for active travel.
- The Cabinet Member for Neighbourhood and Building Services was invited to speak and referred to his remit and accountability to this Scrutiny Committee (and others) and the importance of Members to be able to contact him directly on any questions or concerns they had. He also referred to the large body of work undertaken by the Council during the Pandemic and that as a result it had built up the capability to deal with this or similar emergencies in the future.

Scrutiny Committee subsequently

RECOMMENDED – T H A T the presentation on the Introduction to the Corporate Performance and Resources Scrutiny Committee be noted.

Reason for recommendation

Having regard to the contents of the presentation and discussions at the meeting.

73 Q4 SICKNESS ABSENCE REPORT 2021/2022 (REF) -

Cabinet, on 9th June, 2022, had referred the report to Corporate Performance and Resources Scrutiny Committee for consideration, with any comments to be referred back to Cabinet for further consideration. The Operational Manager Employee Services explained to the Committee that this was a six-monthly report that kept Cabinet and Scrutiny informed of the Council's position in relation to sickness levels and also the support that it provided its employees and managers.

Key points from the report were as follows:

- The absence rates were set out in the report and showed an increase from 8.59 days lost per FTE (April 2020 to March 2021) to 11.35 days lost per FTE (April 2021 to March 2022). The annual target figure (April 2021 to March 2022) was set as 9.20 days lost per FTE.
- The above absence rates, although fairly high, were still relatively positive in light
 of the ongoing issues around COVID-19, with the target figure kept the same for
 the last three years due to the Pandemic.
- The Operational Manager highlighted schools within the Vale (which made up 50% of the Council's workforce), which meant that their level of absence could have a significant impact on the overall Council rates of absence, as could be seen when looking at rates of absence due to the Pandemic.
- Stress (non-work related) continued to be the most common reason for sickness absence at 24.34%.
- Recent sickness absence data was compared against previous years, particularly against 2019/20 which was the last period before the effects of COVID-19 were felt
- In terms of long term / short term absences, long term absences was approximately 75% of all sickness absence versus almost 25%; normally the average rate for these absences was approximately 70 / 30 % respectively. The current figures for longer term absences were nevertheless lower than 2020/21 which had been around 81% and therefore were heading back in the right direction of travel.
- The Operational Manager referred to the top five reasons of absence for each of the Council's Directorates (April 2021 to March 2022), outlining some of the unique issues or causes of these due to the nature of the various directorates, such as age, the nature of their work, etc.
- The report also referred to the impact of COVID-19 and the Operational Manager explained the work that had been done to support staff due to this, such as the move to 'hybrid' and home working, as well as looking at the challenges around 'presenteeism' (i.e., where people working at home / hybrid had continued to work despite being sick with COVID-19 etc. as they were working from home and not travelling to work or in the office with others) in order to ensure that staff did not feel they should work (from home) despite being unwell and maintaining a work / life balance. As part of this the Council was undertaking a staff wellbeing survey in order to get more data on how staff had been working, including 'presenteeism' and how this can be addressed in order to look after staff.

- The Employee Assistance Programme was also outlined, which offered services from counselling through to debt management and the need to review this now, as well as health promotion among staff, offering flu vaccinations to employees and the work undertaken by the Council's Occupational Health Team.
- Finally, on the performance management elements of the management of sickness absence, a considerable amount of data was provided to managers, the Senior Leadership Team, as well as to Cabinet and Scrutiny as well as working closely with trade unions and individual colleagues in terms of managing cases of absences, getting their support and getting staff back to work as quickly and as reasonably as possible.
- As had been raised by Scrutiny previously, Human Resources (HR) would be looking to provide comparative data on sickness absence with other Welsh Local Authorities in the near future; however, this was caveated with the inherent differences between such authorities, i.e. around service provision with some authorities outsourcing key services, whereas the Vale had kept many of theirs 'in-house' which included services that traditionally had higher rates of sickness due to being outdoors or a higher level of physical or mentally / emotionally demanding work.

Following the presentation of the report, the subsequent comments and questions were raised by the Committee and others:

- The Chair wished to raise the 35% increase in sickness absence and was there any evaluation of the data which showed the effectiveness of the Employee Assistance Programme and the initiatives to tackle presenteeism. It was explained that the staff survey should help to provide more 'quantifiable' data around presenteeism (i.e. identifying instances where staff had worked while sick), as at present this was based on anecdotal information only. Regarding the Employee Assistance Programme, there was a mix of both positive feedback and responses where staff have had less than positive experiences in getting support. In addition, access to such services had changed so it was important to review these services and ensure better services and that it complemented the work being undertaken by the Council's Health and Safety Team.
- Councillor Haines stated that on the sickness data provided, it did not show the percentage of the staff working at home who were reporting as sick, and asked if this data could be provided in future reports in order to see the impact on productivity, etc. He also referred to the anecdotal information provided regarding instances of presenteeism, which he felt was insufficient and stressed the importance of gathering data in as rigorous and effective way as possible, stating that the staff survey could potentially provide 'skewed' data only. It was important for HR to look at a more 'standardised' model of data collection, as seen in industry, with the suggestion that external bodies such as Cardiff University could assist the Council with improving and standardising data collection, etc.
- Councillor Loveluck-Edwards asked about the following:
 - The levels of absence around Social Services and Environment and Housing and whether there was any correlation between staffing levels, recruitment and retention as being an issue. The Operational Manager stated that he would look to build into future sickness absence reports

- staffing levels and recruitment / retention in those and other services, and to look at the Council's recruitment and attraction strategy, as there appeared to be a wider issue around vacancies and recruitment / retention through many service areas, where previously this had only been seen within more specialist occupations such as social workers and in ICT services. Difficulties in recruiting new staff therefore would put more pressure on existing staff which could impact sickness absence.
- Regarding the work being done around the 'Menopause Café', what steps were being taken to encourage local management to have those types of discussions in order that female staff were able or feel confident to openly site perimenopause or menopause as a condition for their reason for their work absence. This was something that could be looked at in more detail and could be incorporated into future reporting.
- On non-work related stress, would it be possible to drill down into the details and establish if there was any specific issues, i.e. around gender relating to the significant levels of absence attached to this, whilst respecting individuals' privacy. It was explained that the categories for sickness absence were driven by Welsh Government, whereby they only looked at the higher level of 'stress' related sickness absence only, with the Vale actually splitting this category down further into work and non work related stress. It was suggested that a further 'drilling down' into this data could be done, but not at the level where individuals or teams could be easily identified.
- Councillor Wood referred to the long-term absence table in the report, which seemed to indicate a large increase in stress related sickness absence, with other categories of sickness absence seeing much less increases or none at all. This could be explained by the pressures caused due to the pandemic, increases in staff head count, etc but this still remained a significant increase. It was confirmed to the Councillor that long term absence was measured as four weeks continuous absence. He added that there needed to be an additional 'drilling down' into long term, stress related sickness absence (but with the need to respect individuals" privacy, etc.) in order to look at potential ideas to help reduce stress related absences, which had become a significant sickness issue for the Council. Furthermore, the statistics on long term absences should look at those people who were sick for longer than four weeks. The Operational Manager agreed with the Councillor's comments and referred to the work undertaken with managers to help manage their absences and the more detailed sickness absence data shared with the various management teams and health and safety committees to help address such issues. In terms of longer-term sickness absence, data could be provided going forward, by directorate and other categories, looking at 4-, 8- and 12-week periods.
- The Vice-Chair added that a large part of the increase in stress related absences seemed to be linked to schools; he also highlighted two particular points of concern which were listed in the report, which was a large increase in the last two years of work-related stress within Environment and Housing and in work and non-work related stress in Social Services. He asked if there could be an additional report covering these specific areas of increase and concern. The Operational Manager agreed that extra reporting around stress related absences for the services outlined would be undertaken and also referred to the work that

- the Council had undertaken to help alleviate such pressures such as the additional payments, via WG, to staff i.e. in social care.
- The Leader also addressed the Committee, stating that this was an area she cared passionately about and not to forget that behind the report and the statistics were people, who were unwell and had worked tirelessly such as social care workers with Musculoskeletal issues due to the need to lift and move vulnerable and elderly people, or staff working in refuse and recycling. There was also the mental health aspect which risked being 'lumped in' with stress related absence, in a way that other ailments or reasons for absence were not and so there was a need to where possible to drill down into the reasons behind stress related absences, whether they are work or home related, etc, in order to have both qualitative and quantitive data. The Leader also referred to the Employee Assistance Programme which could offer assistance to staff whose work / life balance had been impacted and were suffering from home or work-related stress.
- The Head of Human Resources and Organisational Development wished to add that the extensive work undertaken by frontline staff, to support the most vulnerable and all residents in the Vale during the Pandemic had meant greater stress and anxiety which had impacted sickness absence. There was now a risk of this being exacerbated by cost-of-living pressures. The Council would continue to offer support to staff via medical, psychiatric and other professionals' interventions, as well as offering peer to peer support, wellbeing, resilience, physical fitness, mental health, financial support and similar sessions. Also, when looking at staff feedback and statistics via the surveys undertaken, these were overall positive in terms of the programmes offered to employees, how satisfied they were with their role, how supported they felt by their line manager and how trusted they felt to do their role. It was important that all the Council's managers continued to give those supportive messages to staff. More detailed data would be provided to the Committee on sickness absence, minus any personal data.
- The Cabinet Member for Neighbourhood and Building Services also addressed the Committee, addressing the hard physical work undertaken by staff within his portfolio during the Pandemic period and the subsequent impact on absence, as well as events in the personal lives of staff. The Cabinet Member also stated that he would keep a close eye on and discuss any increase in sickness absence within his remit with the relevant Director in order to address this and to utilise the Employee Assistance Programme.

Scrutiny Committee subsequently

RECOMMENDED – T H A T the Committee's views and recommendations be referred to Cabinet for their consideration and approval, namely:

- A report be produced for Cabinet and for the Committee on the breakdown of sickness absence by staff working at home and those working in office and frontline environments.
- A report be produced for Cabinet and for the Committee on any potential correlation between the areas where the Council has skill shortages with the

- issues of recruitment and retention as well as significant levels of sickness absence.
- A report be produced for Cabinet and for the Committee on the link between sickness absence and perimenopause and menopause for female staff, as well as looking at the means of enabling staff to cite these as reasons for sickness absence, such as on return-to-work forms.
- A report be produced for Cabinet and for the Committee looking at specifically the longer-term instances of sickness absence and the numbers of persons affected, broken down by sector, reason for absence, etc.

Reason for recommendation

For Cabinet to consider the comments and recommendations of the Scrutiny Committee in order to ensure a continued focus on the management of sickness absence throughout all services of the Council and to enable the Committee to make recommendations to Cabinet, as appropriate.

 4^{TH} QUARTER SCRUTINY RECOMMENDATION TRACKING 2021/22 AND PROPOSED ANNUAL FORWARD WORK PROGRAMME SCHEDULE 2022/23 (CX) -

The report, presented by the Democratic and Scrutiny Services Officer, advised Members of progress in relation to the Scrutiny Committee's historical recommendations and the proposed Annual Forward Work Programme Schedule for 2022/23:

- 4th Quarter Recommendation Tracking January to March 2022 (Appendix A);
- Cabinet Annual Forward Work Programme 2022/23 (Appendix B);
- Proposed Annual Forward Work Programme Schedule for 2022/23 (Appendix C).

The Democratic and Scrutiny Services Officer referred to some small amendments that needed to be made with reference to the recommendations on the report and on the Proposed Annual Forward Work Programme Schedule for 2022/23:

- That recommendation (2) should refer to 'Corporate Performance and Resources Scrutiny Committee' and not 'Homes and Safe Communities Scrutiny Committee' with regard to the Cabinet Annual Forward Work Programme for 2022/23 attached at Appendix B.
- That in Appendix C (the Committee's proposed Annual Forward Work Programme Schedule 2022/23) the report on Project Zero be moved from June to July 2022 in the Work Programme Schedule.

Councillor Haines enquired about a report on online support provided by the Council / C1V and if this could be included in the Work Programme, and he cited the challenges that he and other members of the public had in trying to report and get resolved issues such as potholes this way. The Director of Corporate Resources explained that improving the Council's digital services was a key area of commitment

in the Annual Delivery Plan and an update on this would be coming to the Committee via performance reporting in the near future. Additional commentary could be provided on this as part of such reports going forward. The Director also referred to the new online system that the Council would be adopting for its customer services which would improve access and usability and for which the Committee would be updated on as this progressed. The Democratic and Scrutiny Services Officer would also share with Councillor Haines reports, updates, etc. on digital inclusion and online services from this and other Scrutiny Committees.

Scrutiny Committee subsequently

RECOMMENDED -

- (1) THAT the status of the actions listed in Appendix A to the report be agreed.
- (2) T H A T the Cabinet Annual Forward Work Programme for 2022/23 attached at Appendix B, in the context of the Corporate Performance and Resources Scrutiny Committee Annual Forward Work Programme 2022/23 content, be noted.
- (3) T H A T the Committee's proposed Annual Forward Work Programme Schedule for 2022/23 attached at Appendix C be approved and uploaded to the Council's website, subject to the following amendment being made:
 - That the Project Zero report be moved from June to July 2022 in the Work Programme Schedule.

Reasons for recommendations

- (1) To maintain effective tracking of the Committee's recommendations.
- (2) To align with section 7.6 of the Cabinet and Scrutiny Roles and Responsibilities Protocol.
- (3) For public information.